Case 2:20-cr-00218-DAD Document 57 Filed 09/16/22 Page 1 of 4

1 2 3	HEATHER E. WILLIAMS, SBN 122664 Federal Defender CHRISTINA SINHA, SBN 278893 Assistant Federal Defender Designated Counsel for Service 801 I Street, Third Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 T: (916) 498-5700 F: (916) 498-5710	
4		
5		
6	Attorneys for Defendant DOUGLAS GREGORY EDWARDS	
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 2:20-CR-218-DAD
11	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE
12) STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDE TIME
13	VS.) Date: September 20, 2022
14	DOUGLAS GREGORY EDWARDS,) Time: 9:00 A.M.) Judge: Hon. Dale A. Drozd
15	Defendant.) _)
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed by and between United States Attorney	
17	Phillip A. Talbert, through Assistant United States Attorney Samuel Stefanki, counsel for	
18	Plaintiff, and Federal Defender Heather Williams, through Assistant Federal Defender Christina	
19	Sinha, counsel for Mr. Edwards, that the status conference (currently set for September 20, 2022)	
20	may be continued to October 11, 2022, at 9:30 a.m. The parties specifically stipulate as	
21	follows:	
22	1. On August 30, 2022, th	nis matter was recently reassigned to District Judge Dale A.
23	Drozd, and the previou	sly set status conference was reset for September 20, 2022.
24	ECF No. 55.	
25	2. By stipulation, the defe	ense now moves to continue the status conference to
26	October 11, 2022, at 9:30 a.m.	
27	3. The government has pr	oduced three productions of discovery, totaling
28		
	Stimulation and [Duamass d] Onder to Continu	-1- United States v. Douglas Edwards

- approximately 2,000 items of reports, audiovisual files, photographs, and other documents.
- 4. Defense counsel represents that she requires additional time to review the discovery, investigate and research possible defenses, research potential pretrial motions, consult with experts and her client, explore potential resolutions to the case, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- 5. Defense counsel believes that failure to grant the requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 6. The government does not object to the continuance.
- 7. Therefore, the parties stipulate that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweighs the best interest of the public and Mr. Edwards in a speedy trial and request the Court so to find.
- 8. For the purpose of computing time under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 *et seq.* (Speedy Trial Act), the parties request that the time period between September 20, 2022 and October 11, 2022, inclusive, be deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv) (Local Code T4), because it would result from a continuance granted by the Court at the defense's request, based on a finding that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweighs the best interest of the public and Mr. Edwards in a speedy trial.

(The remainder of this page is intentionally blank. Signatures immediately follow.)

Case 2:20-cr-00218-DAD Document 57 Filed 09/16/22 Page 3 of 4 1 2 Respectfully submitted, 3 HEATHER E. WILLIAMS Federal Defender 4 Date: September 15, 2022 5 /s/ Christina Sinha **CHRISTINA SINHA** Assistant Federal Defender 6 Attorneys for Defendant DOUGLAS GREGORY EDWARDS 7 8 9 Date: September 15, 2022 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney 10 /s/ Samuel Stefanki 11 SAMUEL STEFANKI 12 **Assistant United States Attorney** Attorneys for Plaintiff 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 2:20-cr-00218-DAD Document 57 Filed 09/16/22 Page 4 of 4

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	The Court, having received and considered the parties' stipulation, and good cause
3	appearing therefrom, adopts the parties' stipulation in its entirety as its order.
4	IT IC CO OPPEDED
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: September 16, 2022 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	CIVILD STATES DISTRICT SCHOL
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	1

28